UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

SHAWN DE	RUMGOLD,)				
Pla	aintiff,)				
)				
v.)	Civil	Action	No.	04cv11193-NG
)				
	CALLAHAN,)				
	WALSH, et al.,)				
	fendants.)				
GERTNER,	, D.J.					
		VERDICT WORKING April 4	DRAFT			
1. <u>Tra</u>	acie Peaks					
a.	Has the Plaint: preponderance of Walsh violated exculpatory evidence, and/o	of the evants of the evant of the evants of the evant of the evant of the evants of the evant of t	idence t to a om pros ing fal	that Def fair tri ecutors, se state	enda al b man ment	nt Richard y withholding ufacturing s with regard
	YES	NO				
b.	Has the Plaint: preponderance of Callahan violate withholding exceeding exceeding manufacturing exceeding statements with of Plaintiff?	of the evited his rulpatory evidence,	idence ight to eviden and/or	that Def a fair ce from obtaini	enda tria pros ng f	nt Timothy l by ecutors, alse
	YES	NO_				

2. Mary Alexander

a. Has the Plaintiff, Shawn Drumgold, proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Richard Walsh violated his right to a fair trial by withholding exculpatory evidence from prosecutors regarding Mary Alexander's health condition affecting her brain?

NO_____

YES_____

3.

b.	Has the Plaintiff, Shawn Drumgold, proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Timothy Callahan violated his right to a fair trial by withholding exculpatory evidence from prosecutors regarding Mary Alexander's health condition affecting her brain?
	YES NO
Ric	ky Evans
a.	Has the Plaintiff, Shawn Drumgold, proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Timothy Callahan violated his right to a fair trial by withholding exculpatory evidence from prosecutors, creating evidence, and/or obtaining false statements regarding Ricky Evans' alleged observations of Shawn Drumgold and Terrance Taylor on August 19, 1988?
	YES NO
b.	Has the Plaintiff, Shawn Drumgold, proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Timothy Callahan violated his right to a fair trial by withholding exculpatory evidence from prosecutors, manufacturing evidence, and/or obtaining false statements regarding Ricky Evans being housed at a hotel and provided with meals?
	YES NO
C.	Has the Plaintiff, Shawn Drumgold, proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Timothy Callahan violated his right to a fair trial by withholding exculpatory evidence from prosecutors, manufacturing evidence, and/or obtaining false statements regarding Ricky Evans being given money in the amount of \$20?
	YES NO
d.	Has the Plaintiff, Shawn Drumgold, proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Timothy Callahan violated his right to a fair trial by withholding exculpatory evidence from prosecutors,

		manufacturing evidence, and/or obtaining false statements regarding Ricky Evans being given money in an amount substantially greater than \$20?
		YESNO
	е.	Has the Plaintiff, Shawn Drumgold, proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Timothy Callahan violated his right to a fair trial by withholding exculpatory evidence from prosecutors, manufacturing evidence, and/or obtaining false statements regarding the disposition of Ricky Evans' pending criminal cases?
		YESNO
4.	<u>Civi</u>	l Conspiracy
of		the Plaintiff, Shawn Drumgold, proven by a preponderance idence that:
	a.	The Defendants, Richard Walsh and Timothy Callahan, entered into an express or implied agreement between the Defendants, or between the Defendants and another, to deprive the Plaintiff of the constitutional rights to a fair trial described above?
		YES NO
	b.	At least one of the alleged co-conspirators engaged in an overt act in furtherance of the conspiracy?
		YES NO
	C.	Plaintiff suffered an actual deprivation of constitutional rights as a result of the overt act?
		YES NO
SO	SAY WE	ALL:
		

Foreperson (please sign)

Date

Boston, Massachusetts